

Vaccinations - without any censorship

Part II - To read and to disbelieve!

By Dr. Gil Josef Shachar (M.D)

December 2018

Following the article "Vaccines- Without Censorship" (if you have not read it - it is necessary to read in order to understand the course of events), I have received hundreds of responses.

With your permission, I will not relate to the irrelevant and scathing responses.

But there were also some practical responses, some of them from doctors, who combined studies that compared vaccines to a real placebo, sterile water (Saline), as proof that I had been wrong and misleading in what I wrote.

Before I respond to these claims one by one in an orderly and matter-of-fact manner, a short introduction should be given:

My claim was that vaccinations given to babies in Israel did not undergo proper safety studies, required. In this regard, a basic and important point must be clarified. To prove that vaccines are safe for babies at the age of two months, studies should be done on babies at the age of two months. Research on adults, even if done with a saline placebo group, will not prove that the vaccine is safe for babies.

This is understandable by means of common sense, but you also have physiological reasons that are easy to understand:

1. In some vaccinations, the dose given to two-month-old infants is the same as the amount given to an adult.

In other words, the amount of stabilizing substances, preservatives, and adjuvants (which stimulate the immune system to react more actively) is the same dose for baby's vaccination as it is for adult vaccination.

You do not have to be a doctor to understand that a study that shows that the vaccine is safe for a person weighing 70 kg does not prove that the same amount of photantine substances will be safe for a 3-4 kg baby. You just have to make good sense.

2. The brain develops during infancy. Some substances may not affect a mature brain, but may damage the brain during its developmental phase. Therefore research on adults will not prove safety of the material for infants. Again, just common sense.

3. Symptoms such as SIDS, loss of eye contact and regression to autism do not occur in adults. Epileptic seizures occur in children and adults, however SIDS, loss of eye contact and regressive autism only occur in babies and children. To prove that vaccines do not increase the risk of these effects, studies should be carried out on infants. You do not have to be a scientist to understand this, it's common sense.

What is the similar parable?

When you want to prove that a certain drug is safe for pregnant women, you should do a study that looks at pregnant women. Research showing that the drug is safe for men or women **who are not pregnant** can not prove safe for pregnant women. It is possible that the substance in the drug / vaccine penetrates through the placenta and causes damage to the fetus - birth defects or developmental problems.

Only research on pregnant women can prove it.

It's common sense. You do not have to be a doctor or a researcher to understand it.

It goes the same with vaccinations. A study to show that a vaccine is safe for an adult is not relevant to the question of vaccine safety for infants.

And not only do I claim it.
The FDA itself claims it!

To approve a drug / vaccine, the FDA requires that the drug / vaccine undergo several phases of safety studies.

In phase 1 the vaccine shall be given to a small experiment group (a few dozen) of adult subjects. In order to see how the human body responds to it.

phase 1 does not always have a control group that receives a placebo. If the vaccine has successfully passed phase 1, it goes up to phase 2.

In phase 2, the vaccine shall be tested on several hundred people, this time not only on adults, but on the target population of the vaccine. For example, juvenile diabetes, infants with epileptic seizures, adults over the age of 65 with hypertension, women over the age of 50 with symptoms of menopause, etc.

Here, too, there is no obligation to be a control group.

If the vaccine has successfully passed phase 2, it goes up to the final and final phase - phase 3.

phase 3 is the final stage before the vaccine is approved for commercial use.

In stage 3, the vaccine was tested for efficacy and safety on thousands (and sometimes tens of thousands) of subjects.

Subjects who are the target audience of that vaccine!

For a phase III clinical trials, there is always a placebo group (as we have seen in the previous article, the question is just which placebo...)

If so, these are the FDA's own requirements for any vaccine that wants to be commercially sold and approved for a drug / vaccine.

The FDA requires efficacy and safety studies for the intended target audience!

So logical and basic.

Over 80% of the doses of immunization that appear in the immunization schedule for children in Israel (over 30 doses) are given to infants up to the age of two years.

This is the target audience of vaccinations!

The studies that want to prove that a certain vaccine is safe must show an experiment on babies of these ages!

In the responses I received to my Facebook post and to the various WhatsApp groups, there were those who did "copy-paste" to a list of studies that are circulating on the net and supposedly proves that there are studies comparing vaccines to a placebo group that is a saline (sterile water).

This list is also found in the official groups of an associations that present themselves as scientists and there are even doctors who sent it to me!

So now, let's dive in and see if these studies really prove the safety of vaccinations given to babies in Israel.

The first study - <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25371534>

The study examined the safety of herpes zoster vaccine (shingles).

This is a controlled, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, placebo-controlled study!

Just what we were looking for!

looks promising!

Here we have the irrefutable proof that Dr. Gil Yosef Shahar is wrong and misleading!

But it is only from reading the title of the article that one can see that the study was done on adults.

And not just adults, but adults with AIDS.

Not exactly the proper proof of vaccine safety for babies...

The study was carried out on 134 adults with AIDS, which means that even in terms of its scope, it belongs to stage 1/2 (as the article's authors point out).

Summary of the irrelevance of this study to its subject:

1. It is done on adults and not on babies.
2. Even if it was done on babies, this is not a Phase III safety study which is the evidence required for the safety of a vaccine. The number of subjects here are small and corresponds to step 1/2.
3. This is a study on herpes zoster vaccine (shingles). This vaccine is not given to children. Therefore, it is not relevant to our discussion of the safety of the vaccination program given to babies in Israel.

Let's move on.

The second study - <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29443825>

A controlled, randomized study on influenza vaccination with a control group received by saline!

Perhaps this will be the research that will prove that Dr. Gil Josef Shachar is mistaken and misleading.

Perhaps.

Let's check.

The study looked at whether giving flu vaccine to pregnant women reduces neonatal morbidity due to severe pneumonia.

So this is not a safety study at all!

The study did not examine whether there were differences in the number of adverse events in the mother or newborn (in infants, whether there was an increase in the prevalence of symptoms such as SIDS, developmental delay, eye problems, epileptic seizures, etc.) and therefore there is nothing to continue to discuss it

But we should point out that even if it was a safety study, it is not relevant to the safety of babies.

Those who were vaccinated were pregnant women, not infants.

In addition, the study was not double-blind, as required from phase III studies.

So again we did not succeed in proving that Dr. Gil Josef Shachar was wrong.

Let's keep trying.

The third study - <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29239682>

A controlled, randomized study on influenza vaccination with a control group received by saline!

Maybe this time we'll succeed.

Already from the title of the study (you do not even need to go in!) You see that it was done on adults and not on babies. Oops

The study also belongs to Phase 1 as indicated by the title. Many drugs have passed stage 1, but have failed in the later stages. Phase 1 experiment can never be used as evidence of the safety of any vaccine because it does not include enough subjects. Oops ...

Continue...

The fourth study - <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29217375>

A controlled, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, controlled study with saline!

Sounds promising!

So here are the facts about this study:

It was done on vaccination for the affliction virus. Immunization not routinely given to infants in Israel. Research is irrelevant.

And even if it was relevant, it was done on adults and not on babies.

Is a Phase 1 study (written in the title!) - can not prove the safety of any vaccine.

We do not give up.

Let's continue.

What will we not do to prove that Dr. Gil Josef Shachar was wrong and misleading.

The fifth study - <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28720281>

A controlled, randomized Study with Saline!

And the flu vaccine - a disease that is also stored against babies from the age of six months!

Hallelujah!

But just a moment before the champagne bottles are opened, here are the facts:

The experiment was done on adults rather than babies!

Belongs to step 1/2 - does not satisfy us as evidence of the safety of any vaccine. And does not provide the FDA according to the guidelines which was written by the FDA itself.

And if that's not enough, then when you read carefully, you discover that this is indeed an experiment to vaccinate the flu, but it is not really the type of vaccine given in Israel!

Not relevant.

We do not give up.

Continue.

The sixth study - <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28522338>

A controlled randomized Study of influenza vaccination with a saline-controlled group.

Here's the opportunity we've been waiting for!

But no.

What a disappointment!

Also this study is done on adults (pregnant women in this case), and not on babies.

Seventh study - <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28498853>

A controlled, randomized study with a control group received saline!
the facts:

A study on TB vaccine! Was not given as part of the routine immunization program for infants in Israel.

It's no longer relevant.

But let's suppose that it was a study of a vaccine given in Israel -
It is done on adults and not on babies! (You do not have to make an effort, it's written in the title!)

Number of subjects - 16

A small amount which is appropriate for the Phase 1 study (even this is hardly possible).

The eighth study - <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28376743>

A controlled, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study with saline!

But no!

Also this study is done on adults and therefore does not prove anything about the safety of vaccination for babies.

And is in general a study on experimental influenza vaccine. Not even the one given in Israel.

The Ninth Study - <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27895921>

This article is not the study itself, but a review that describes research, so it is not appropriate to relate to it. We do not want reviews. We want to see the studies themselves, to see what they really looked at and how.

However, if this scientific article appears in the official group of an organization headed by doctors and scientists, as proof of high quality studies that examined the safety of vaccines provided in Israel, let us give it due respect.

Here are the facts:

The review describes studies on vaccination against the HPV virus!
(Cervical cancer)

This vaccine is not given to babies in Israel (Baruch Hashem!), But only at age 13.

But no!

One of the studies they review is done with a placebo that includes the aluminum adjuvant of the vaccine!

No saline!

So, as I explained at length in the first article, he can not find any damage from the vaccine because the vaccine itself contains aluminum and the control group receives aluminum.

The researchers injected the subjects with aluminum without the weakened / inactivated virus.

That is, a real injection of toxic material (according to the CDC, the US Centers for Disease Control), without any benefit to those subjects. Even the antibodies (the experimental) against the dangerous virus, they can not earn.

The perpetrators of this study and those who authorized it should come to the International Court of Justice in The Hague and stand trial for crimes against humanity.

What explanation can they provide for having performed the study with a vaccine that includes aluminum - a toxic substance - rather than saline, which is safe?

Doctors and scientists from among you - do you have an explanation? Please send it to me.

Sorry for skipping the subject.

Let us return to the matter

The Tenth Study - <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26411885>

The study examined a new vaccine against nine strains of the papillomavirus, on girls and women aged 12-26, and only those who had already received three doses of the previous generation vaccine, which contained only four strains!

Not really relevant to routine infant immunizations in Israel...

So what did we have?

None of these studies have been tried in infants.

None of them is a Phase III study.

Most of them are not even routine vaccinations for babies in Israel.

And the bottom line remains exactly as I wrote in the first article: None of the routine infant immunizations in Israel were tested in a controlled and randomized clinical trials, on infants and saline placebo.

I repeat: none of the routine vaccinations given to babies in Israel have been tested in this way.

The same organization that promotes vaccines and distributes in its official group the list of these studies as "proof" that studies have been carried out with Saline's placebo, continues to distribute this miserable list, although this association has many doctors and scientists who know how to read studies very well, and they also knows, as I have shown you now , That these studies are equal to nothing in terms of routine safety of vaccinations for infants in Israel.

They take advantage of the fact that 99% of parents who ask for information do not know how to read medical studies and do not know what to look at when reading medical studies.They take advantage of the fact that 99% of parents who ask for information do not know how to read medical studies and do not know what to look at when reading medical research.

Any scientist who would accept this list as proof of the claim that vaccinations appearing in the vaccine program in Israel are safe would laugh in their faces.

Research on Affinity Vaccine?

Research on adults with AIDS?

Research on experimental influenza vaccine?

A study that did not check safety, but effectiveness in preventing pneumonia?

Research on 16 adults?!?

Even if this study was about 16 children it would not prove safety (even not by the FDA!).

If there is a side effect that occurs in 1000 children who receive the

vaccine and even one in 100 children who receive the vaccine, a study of 16 children will never find out about it!

How are they not ashamed to publish such a list in their official group answering questions from parents?

There's a deliberate deception!

To take advantage of the innocence of parents, who see many doctors and scientists on the list of members of the association and send them this list as proof, this is really a lowly act!

Utilizing the innocence and lack of knowledge of caring parents, at the lowest level!

I have no other word to describe it.

They dare to flaunt this miserable list as proof of vaccine safety to our babies, only because they know that 99% of the readers, who are not scientists or doctors, lack the knowledge to discern all the essential flaws of these studies.

Why do they do that?

Ask them.

Do not give up.

Let them deal with the arguments I have written here.

You do not have to deal with them yourself.

Most of you probably do not have the tools.

Send them a link to this article.

Upload it to their Facebook group 'Talking about vaccines' (With hope that they will not remove it within 3 seconds, they're censoring everything they can not deal with, and they may block you from commenting on the group, as they did to people in the past, because you dared ask in a dignified manner a question And you insisted on a scientific and practical answer)

Do not give up.

You will ask them for answers.

And not only did the bottom line I wrote in the previous article remain intact, unless this unfortunate list is the most "strong" list that the establishment has to show as proof of the safety of vaccines given in Israel, my claim that the vaccinations given to our children were not examined in the **minimal manner required**

If I'm wrong, then it's so easy to prove it!

Go on. Respond with an orderly and practical article listing all routine immunizations provided in Israel, along with a link to many high-quality studies (vaccine studies from the same manufacturer with which Israel has stored it), which have proven the safety of each vaccine.

We the parents deserve to see such a list.

We're here, waiting.

As for the list of studies we have discussed now, the truth is that I would not even have to read them in full.

A glance at the headline and in some cases a reading of the study summary is sufficient to see that it is not relevant to proving the safety of vaccinations given to babies in Israel.

And I have not even talked about the conflict of interests of the writers, who have a close economic relationship with vaccine manufacturers.

And before you do a "copy-paste" of posts from a Facebook group of an association that presents itself as the unshakable representative of science, do yourself a favor - click on the links and check what you are sending.

At least you doctors, who know how to read studies, do not fall into the trap they have for innocent parents, who just want to make sure that their children receive vaccinations that have been properly tested.

I apologize for being cynical.

But this response has forced me to spend many hours reading these unfortunate studies (one of which is really a crime against humanity) and writing this response instead of going to sleep at a normal hour.

I ask you, if you think I'm wrong and misleading and you have studies that prove this, please, before you send them or distribute them to Facebook groups, make sure they meet the following criteria:

- Controlled, randomized, double-blind studies
- Phase III studies only
- Made on babies at the age when they receive it in Israel
- And vaccinations provided in Israel
- And Saline's placebo only. Without aluminum and other photonic substances included in vaccines.

Only those who meet all these criteria will be able to prove that some vaccine is safe.

And even if you find one of these (I and many others have not been able to find), we want to see **at least one study of each of the vaccines given to babies in Israel.**

We're here, waiting.

I would like to encourage you to contact the Ministry of Health, the district doctors (who are responsible for immunization in their district), your personal physicians, immunologists and infectious disease specialists and associations that promote vaccines and ask them for such studies.

Do not give them up.

Before you allow your child to receive a vaccine, you have the right to demand at least one high quality study that proves the vaccine is safe. And note that they do not send you flawed studies, such as those

distributed by an organization that boasts doctors and researchers. Studies that have nothing to do with the vaccine your child is supposed to receive.

We dealt here only with fact number 3 of the previous article in the series.

Which, as noted, is not only not refuted, but is now much stronger, in view of the unfortunate research that the Vaccine Support Association had to send.

Indeed we have to start from this fact

We should all demand to see studies proving **that each vaccine alone** is indeed safe for its target audience.

But remember that even if there was such research on every vaccine, the Ministry of Health must also prove to you that it is safe to give **dozens of doses** in a two-year period.

After all they are responsible for our health.

Turn to them.

Ask to see what studies they have done to ensure that giving so many doses in such a short period of time to such small babies (who usually receive several vaccines in one day) is safe for your child.

This is a basic and such a logical requirement.

And to you, surfers, I strongly recommend that if you have not read the most comprehensive, most profound and scientific book ever written about vaccines - for you and your child - you should do it.

What you read in this article and before it, is just a drop in the ocean.

Not the tip of a fork, but the edge of the tip of a fork.

During reading, an entire world that you did not know will be revealed to you.

Backed by science.

But be careful - not for those with a weak heart.

And if you want to make a real difference in the world - buy one more copy and give it to your pediatrician / family doctor.

Suggested that they read it and from a routine visit, asked them if they had read the book.

Maybe that's how we'll make a difference.

Yours,

Gil Josef Shachar MD

Did the article add useful knowledge?

Did it help you see reality in a more balanced way?

Share it with your belong ones.

*The original article was written in Hebrew

<https://www.rambam-medicine.org.il/>